UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/560,201 | 12/08/2005 | Timothy Freeze | CPG 03-07 MB | 5506 |
| 48418 PARKS KNOW | 7590 05/20/200 VLTON LLC | EXAMINER | | |
| | TER CENTER WEST | BUI, LUAN KIM | | |
| | SUITE E402 ATLANTA, GA 30338 | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3728 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/20/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summers | 10/560,201 | FREEZE, TIMOTHY | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Luan K. Bui | 3728 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 M</u> | av 2000 | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | | | | | |
| ·= | <i>,</i> — | | | | |
| 71 | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-39</u> is/are pending in the app | olication. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | and/or election requirement | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-39</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.03(a). | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | te | | | |

Art Unit: 3728

For better understanding, the claims must be amended as follows:

In claim 1, lines 5 and 11, "said gate" should be changed to --said at least one gate--.

In claim 1, line 6, "said aperture" should be changed to --said at least one aperture--.

In claim 1, lines 10 and 15, "said detachable tab" should be changed to --said at least one substantially detachable tab--.

In claim 2, line 3, "said detachable tab" should be changed to --said at least one substantially detachable tab--.

In claim 5, line 1, "said tab" should be changed to --said at least one substantially detachable tab--.

In claim 6, line 5, "each gate" should be changed to --said at least one gate--.

In claim 6, line 6, "blister" should be changed to --said at least one blister--.

In claim 6, line 6, "aperture" (two places) should be changed to --said at least one aperture--.

In claim 6, line 7, "gate" should be changed to --said at least one gate--.

In claim 6, line 8, "each respective tab" should be changed to --each respective said at least one tab--.

In claim 6, line 10, "tab" should be changed to --said at least one tab--.

In claim 6, line 14, "at least one" should be changed to --said at least one--

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

Art Unit: 3728

Group I: Claims 1, 2, 5-24 and 28-38, drawn to a combination of a package blank apparatus and a child resistant packaging method, classified in Class 206, subclass 531 and others and class 53.

Group II: Claims 25-27 and 39, drawn to a subcombination of a package blank apparatus, classified in Class 229, subclass unknown.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of a selective areas of the adhesive coating, or the aperture panel, the gate panel and the tab panel have joined edges and are folded inward at the joined edges, or the aperture panel has opposite lateral edges with the gate panel and the tab panel have lateral edges joined respectively as claimed. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used by itself for holding other articles instead of a blister tray or at least one blister.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because by their different classification and because they are capable of separate manufacture, use and sale, and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

Art Unit: 3728

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4552. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

lkb May 20, 2009 /Luan K. Bui/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728